

UNCLASSIFIED

Appendix II

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO - 2 August 1951

I. DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT

On the evening of 2 August 1951, at 2055 HET, a Guardia Nacional Security Guard and his wife observed what they described to be a flying disc type aircraft similar to the Kirtland Fly-Disc Hoaxer (B-45) pass over the backyard of their trailer home in the east part of Albuquerque. They judged the wing span of the aircraft to be about one and one half times the wing span of a B-36, with which they were familiar. The object was flying low, the altitude was thought to be about 200 ft. - 1000 ft., and there was no sound that could be attributed to the object. The color of the object was not apparent due to the twilight but some distinctive stripes were noticed under the wings. Six to eight pairs of soft glowing lights were noticed on the trailing edge of the wing. The speed was judged to be about 300 - 400 mph and the object was on a heading of approximately 180°.

(See also B-45 for possible related incident.)

II. WATERS

Broken clouds at 17,000 ft., visibility five miles, wind 200 mph.

III. WATERS BY OBSERVERS

The possibility of this being a known aircraft was checked with negative results. The Al and Bolar Station at Kirtland AFB did not observe any unusual or unidentified aircraft.

The observer's observation was checked and since he had a "C" clearance, it has been advised that he inadvertently is mentally stable.

In the situation we made to determine whether or not any one else had seen the object but only negative results were obtained.

The photographs referred to in Appendix I were sent to the IAI at Kirtland AFB. These photos were shown to the officers and they stated that the photos resembled the "hoaxer" or light patterns of the object. A sketch, drawn by the observer, is shown in this appendix.

It is interesting to note that a very similar sighting took place in Lakewood, Texas. The exact time and date of the sighting could not be determined due to the fact that the observer believed she had seen an illusion of some type and did not report the incident. The only date that could be given was "late in August or early September".

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Hence, the investigation will be continued until the authenticity of the photos in Appendix I can be determined.

UNCLASSIFIED